

Telephone: (360) 459-6327 FAX: (360) 438-7699 Email: eho@eho wa gov Website:www.eho wa gov

STATE OF WASHINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL HEARINGS OFFICE

Mailing Address: PO Box 40903, Olympia, WA 98504-0903

Physical Address: 4224 - 6th Ave. SE, Bldg. 2, RoweSix, Lacey, WA 98504-0903

September 21, 2006

Lori A Terry & Kathryn L. Gerla FOSTER PEPPER 1111 Third Avenue Suite 3400 Seattle WA 98101-3299 Ronald L Lavigne Assistant Attorney General Department of Ecology PO Box 40117 Olympia WA 98504-0117

Charlie Tebbutt Western Environmental Law Center 1216 Lincoln Street Eugene OR 97401

RE:

PCHB NO. 06-055

DERUYTER BROTHERS DAIRY INC., SPRING CANYON

RANCH LLC, & SKYRIDGE FARMS v. ECOLOGY

PCHB NO. 06-057

COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION FOR RESTORATION OF

THE ENVIRONMENT (CARE) v. ECOLOGY

Dear Parties:

Enclosed please find the Pre-Hearing Order from the conference held on September 20, 2006. Please review the order carefully in order to understand the details of what the process requires. For ease of reference, the key deadlines are as follows:

- Legal Issues: Additional or revised legal issues due by October 23, 2006
- 2. **Discovery:** Discovery should be complete by **February 20, 2007.**
- 3. **Motions**: File motions on any issue that would be dispositive on or before **February 27, 2007**. Opposing parties shall file responses 14 days from the date received Replies must be made within 10 days from receipt of the response.
- 4. Joint Status Report: shall be filed by March 26, 2007.
- 5 Witness and Exhibit Lists: Final witness and exhibit lists by April 9, 2007.
- 6 Second Pre-Hearing Conference: April 17 at 10:00 a.m. by telephone
- 7. **Briefs:** Pre-Hearing Briefs shall be filed by April 23, 2007.
- 8. Hearing: The hearing dates are set for April 30, May 1-4 and May 7, 2007

If you have questions, please do not hesitate to call

Sincerely yours,

Andrea McNamara Doyle, Presiding

Andrea McNamara Doyle

AMD/jg/P06-057

Cc: Kevin Hancock, Ecology

Enc

CERTIFICATION

On this day, I forwarded a true and accurate copy of the documents to which this certificate is affixed via United States Postal Service postage prepaid to the parties of record herein.

I certify under peralty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED Sept 7, 7000, at Lacey, W.

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON

2 DERUYTER BROTHERS DAIRY INC., SPRING CANYON RANCH LLC, & 3 SKYRIDGE FARMS, Appellants, PCHB No. 06-055 4 **V**.. 5 PRE-HEARING ORDER STATE OF WASHINGTON, 6 DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, 7 Respondent. 8 COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION FOR RESTORATION OF THE ENVIRONMENT PCHB No. 06-057 9 (CARE), (Consolidated) 10 Appellant, 11 12 STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, 13 Respondent. 14 15 On July 21, 2006, the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Board) received two appeals 16 contesting the Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) National Pollution Discharge 17 Elimination System (NPDES) and State Waste Discharge General Permit issued on June 21, 18 2006 by the Department of Ecology (Respondent). One appeal was filed by The Cow Palace¹; 19 DeRuyter Brothers Dairy, Inc; Spring Canyon Ranch, LLC; and Skyridge Farms (collectively 20 ¹ On August 31, 2006, the Board issued an Order Granting Dismissal of the Cow Palace Only in response to its 21 Notice of Voluntary Dismissal

PCHB 06-055 and 06-057 PRE-HEARING ORDER

1	the Dairies or Dairy Appellants), acting through their attorneys Lori A Terry and Kathryn L.		
2	Gerla, of Foster Pepper PLLC. The second appeal was filed by Community Association for		
3	Restoration of the Environment (CARE), acting through the Western Environmental Law Center		
4	A joint pre-hearing conference was held by telephone on September 20, 2006 Andrea		
5	McNamara Doyle presided for the Board		
6	Appearances for the parties were as follows:		
7	Appellants: Lori A Terry and Kathryn L. Gerla, of Foster Pepper PLLC, on behalf of the		
8	Dairy Appellants; Charlie Tebbutt of the Western Environmental Law Center, on behalf of		
9	CARES		
10	Respondent: Ronald L Lavigne, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of Ecology		
11			
12	Based on the conference, the following Pre-Hearing Order is entered:		
13			
14	I. <u>CONSOLIDATION</u>		
15	At the presiding officer's request, and with the agreement of the parties, the two above-		
16	captioned matters are consolidated for hearing		
17			
18	II. <u>HEARING</u>		
19	The hearing in this matter will be held on April 30, May 1-4, and May 7 The hearing		
20	will begin at 9:00 a.m. at the Board's offices in Lacey, Washington. The secondary hearing		

date of December 11-15, 2006, is cancelled by agreement of the parties. A final pre-hearing

conference will be held on April 17 at 10:00 a.m The presiding officer will initiate the prehearing conference by telephone to the parties at the numbers on file with the Board unless provided with a different number

III. MEDIATION AND SETTLEMENT

The parties are encouraged to engage in mediation or settlement discussions with each other at any time without the presence of the presiding officer, and to advise the Board at the earliest convenience in the event settlement is reached. The parties were informed that the Environmental Hearings Office offers no-cost mediation services. If the parties wish to use these services, they may contact the Board for this to be arranged. Any telephonic request for these services shall be followed-up with a written request to the presiding officer.

The parties agree to initiate settlement discussions on or before the conference call scheduled between the parties' attorneys for October 16, 2006, at 3:00 pm

The parties, through the Dairy Appellant's attorneys, shall file with the Board a joint status report apprising the Board of settlement possibilities in the case by **March 26, 2007.**

IV. LEGAL ISSUES

The parties have agreed on the following statement of issues:

Did Ecology err by failing to include language in the combined State Waste Discharge and NPDES General Permit to specify which permit conditions are issued pursuant to state law and which portions are issued pursuant to the federal Clean Water Act?

1	2.	Did Ecology err by requiring, in Special Condition S3 D 2, an updated nutrient management plan if the permittee changes the field acres in the plan?
2	3.	Did Ecology err by requiring, in Special Condition S4 B.1, permittees to report
		"as soon as possible" instead of within 24 hours?
3	4.	Did Ecology err, under the federal Clean Water Act or Chapter 90 48 RCW, in its
		choice of sampling requirements contained in Special Conditions S4 C and
4	_	S4.B.3 h?
~	5.	Is the requirement in Special Condition S7 that a permittee demonstrate no
5		remaining potential for discharge unlawful under the federal Clean Water Act or Chapter 90.48 RCW?
6	6	Did Ecology en by including General Condition G1 in duplication of other
		conditions in the permit?
7	7.	Did Ecology err, under the federal Clean Water Act or Chapter 90.48 RCW, in
		applying General Condition G3 to dairy operations?
8	8.	Does the permit unlawfully fail to provide public access to facility inspection,
		discharge, or records in violation of federal and state law?
9	9	Whether the permit violates the federal Clean Water Act, or Chapters 90 48 or
		90 64 RCW, or their implementing regulations, by failing to require:
10		a. Regular monitoring upstream and downstream of permitted facilities; or
11		b. Monitoring immediately during discharge events at points of known
11	10.	discharges Whether the permit contains adequate soil monitoring requirements to protect
12	10.	groundwater under Chapter 90.48 RCW.
12	11	Whether permit condition S3 A 3 b is so vague as to be unenforceable in violation
13		of the federal Clean Water Act or Chapter 90 48 RCW
	12.	Whether the permit fails to satisfy Washington law requiring the use of all known,
14		available, and reasonable methods of preventing, controlling, and treating
		pollutants ("AKART") prior to discharge in violation of RCW 90 54.020(3)(b).
15	13.	Whether section S3 D 1 of the permit is unlawfully vague regarding the time
		allowed to implement updated nutrient management plans
16	14.	Whether the permit's process for appealing individual applications for coverage
17		under the general permit violates the federal Clean Water Act
1 /	By Se	ptember 27, 2006, the Dairies and CARE will exchange with each other and with
18	5,50	promote 27, 2000, the Danies and Orlice will exchange with each other and with
10	Ecology, revi	sions of additional proposed legal issues as follows: CARE will propose revisions
19]	
	to issues No	3, 5, and 6 contained in its Proposed Legal Issues filed with the Board on
20		
	September 19	2, 2006, and the Dairies will propose revisions to issues B and I in its Statement of
21		

Legal Issues also filed on September 19, 2006. After the parties' October 16, 2006 conference call, but **not later than October 23, 2006**, the parties shall file with the Board any agreed-upon revisions or additions to the above-listed legal issues and, if necessary, request an additional prehearing conference with the presiding officer to resolve any remaining disputes over the statement of legal issues

V. DISCOVERY

The parties will complete discovery by **February 20, 2007**, except for witnesses that may be identified for the first time in the final witness list. These witnesses may be deposed after the discovery cut-off date. If formal discovery is pursued, parties should pay particular attention to the time requirements of the superior court civil rules with regard to interrogatories, depositions, etc. Discovery requests shall be served sufficiently ahead of the discovery deadline so that the opposing party has the response time allowed by these rules (for example, responses to interrogatories are typically due thirty (30) days after service. See CR 33).

The parties shall endeavor to resolve any discovery disputes without involving the Board. Any motions to compel discovery must be filed and served by the discovery cut-off date. An original and one (1) copy of discovery motions and supporting documents must be filed with the presiding officer. Any party filing a discovery motion shall also file a proposed order and shall accompany such filing with an affidavit reciting efforts to resolve the discovery dispute.

Depositions, interrogatories, requests for production or inspection, requests for admission and the responses shall not be filed. It is the initiating party's responsibility to maintain the original together with answers to interrogatories and to make them available for proceedings.

VI. MOTIONS

The Board normally decides motions exclusively on the parties' written submissions, unless oral argument is held pursuant to WAC 371-08-450 At the parties' request, argument may be held by telephone with the parties arranging the connections.

Dispositive Motions. Any motion regarding any issue that would be dispositive of all or part of the case must be filed and served by February 27, 2007. The opposing party has fourteen (14) calendar days from the date of receipt of the motion to file and serve a response. A reply is due ten (10) days after receipt of the response. An original and three (3) copies of all dispositive motion pleadings must be filed with the Board, and a copy must be served on the opposing party. All copies and attachments to briefs shall be three-hole punched.

Non-Dispositive Motions. Responses to any non-dispositive motion must be filed and served five (5) days from receipt of the motion by the non-moving party. The moving party then has three (3) days from receipt of the response to file and serve a reply. An original and one

(1) copy of all non-dispositive motion pleadings must be filed with the Board, and a copy must be served on the opposing party.

19

15

16

17

18

20

VII. WITNESSES

The parties have exchanged preliminary lists of witnesses Final lists of witnesses must be filed with the Board and served on the parties by April 9, 2007 A party wishing to call a witness listed by another party shall include that witness' name on its final witness list. The party calling a witness has the responsibility to ensure his or her attendance at the hearing.

A witness' expertise shall be established by résumé offered as an exhibit.

VIII. EXHIBITS

The parties have exchanged preliminary lists of exhibits Final exhibit lists must be served on the parties and filed with the Board by April 9, 2007. The parties shall exchange copies of exhibits by April 16, 2007. After exchange of final exhibits, the parties are directed to confer in order to try and reach agreement on exhibits' authenticity and admissibility and to eliminate duplicate exhibits. Parties are asked to submit into evidence only those portions of voluminous documents actually being referred to or relied upon by a witness. Even though the parties may stipulate to the admissibility of exhibits, the exhibits generally should be offered through a witness at the hearing.

When meeting with the presiding officer on the first hearing day, each party shall have available for the Board an **original and three** (3) copies of its exhibits and exhibit lists. The exhibit lists shall identify those exhibits admissible by stipulation of the parties and include spaces for indicating whether each exhibit was offered, and admitted or excluded. An original **or** one (1) copy of any exhibit which cannot be conveniently copied due to size, bulk, reproduction difficulty, etc., must be available for the Board at the hearing

Each exhibit must be pre-marked and organized by tab for identification (A-1, A-2, etc., for Appellant and R-1, R-2, etc., for Respondent, respectively) and so identified on the exhibit lists. The number given to an exhibit does not limit the order of its introduction at hearing. Any exhibit listed by one party may be introduced by another party.

The parties are strongly encouraged to confer and present uncontested, stipulated facts to the Board prior to the hearing.

IX. BRIEFS

Pre-hearing briefs are optional. If submitted, they must be filed and served no later than April 23, 2007. An original and three (3) copies that are three-hole punched must be filed, and a copy must also be served on the other parties or their attorneys

Briefs are limited to **fifteen (15) pages** in length, absent an order granting a motion to lengthen. If a citation is made to a case other than Wn. App. or Wn.2d, a complete copy of the referenced citation must be provided with the brief, which will not count as part of the brief page limitation.

X. COMMUNICATION

All correspondence and filings with the Board regarding this matter shall be sent to the attention of the presiding officer with copies sent at the same time to all other parties

Serving the party's representative any document required to be served on a party fulfills the service upon the party requirement.

Telefax may be used to communicate with the Board and the parties, limited to ten (10)

pages in length, provided that the original is mailed the same day

1	"Filed" means the date received by the Board
2	
3	ORDER
4	This order shall govern the proceedings, unless subsequently modified by order of
5	the Board for good cause upon a party's motion or the Board's volition.
6	
7	SO ORDERED this 21st day of September, 2006.
8	
9	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
10	
11	
12	Andrea Mc Naman Doyle ANDREA MCNAMARA DOYLE,
13	Presiding Member
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	